IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2009 OF 2006

Moin Kasim Sab Qureshi .. Applicant
Versus
State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.A.K.Prajapati for applicant
Ms.M.M.Deshmukh, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 31st August 2006.

P.C.

. After hearing Mr.Prajapati for some time and perusing with his assistance the order passed by the trial court, I am not inclined to grant the relief prayed in this application viz., cancellation of bail of the respondent original accused. However, from a perusal of the order passed by the trial court on 19th April 2006, in

Bail Application No.626 of 2006, it appears that the learned Judge has not imposed any conditions with regard to attendance at the concerned police station. Therefoe, all that I am adding is a condition for being enlarged on bail is a stipulation that respondent shall report once in a fortnight to the concerned police station till the conclusion of the trial. Applicants shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses. Accused shall also cooperate with the trial court for expeditious disposal of case. Needless to state that breach of any of these conditions would entail in the bail granted being cancelled and liberty is reserved to the present applicant to apply in that behalf.

2. Order of the trial court is modified in the above terms. Application disposed of. A.P.P. to communicate this order to the concerned police station.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)